

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/732,804	12/08/2000	Shigeo Haruki	10873.619US01	2959
	7590 06/03/2003		•	
MERCHAN' P.O. BOX 290	T & GOULD PC		EXAMI	NER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			GEMMELL, ELIZABETH M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
(		09/732,804	HARUKI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Beth Gemmell	•
. <u> </u>	The MAILING DATE of this communic		et with the correspondence address
Period fo	r Reply	,,	and the correspondence address
I HL I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with apply received by the Office later than three months after different adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>17 March 2003</i>	
2a)□		b) ☐ This action is non-final.	
3)□			matters, prosecution as to the merits is
,—	closed in accordance with the practic on of Claims	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2,3,5 and 6</u> is/are pending in	the application.	
4	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2 and 5</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3 and 6</u> is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement	
Application	on Papers		
9)⊠ Т	he specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2</u>	000 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Examiner.
_	Applicant may not request that any object		
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed o		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requi		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🛛 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[∑	〗All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
•	$\mathbb{R} igwedge \mathbb{R}$ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	
2	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received i	n Application No
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	een received in this National Stage ))).
	ee the attached detailed Office action for		
			.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
15)[_] Ad	The translation of the foreign langues knowledgment is made of a claim for a	age provisional application ha domestic priority under 35 U.S	s been received. .C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
ttachment(s			
2) D Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	·948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.	***	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/732,804

Art Unit: 2882

#### · DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendments filled 17 March 2003.

The indicated allowability of claims 2 and 5 from previous Office Action (paper number 4) is hereby withdrawn in view of recognition that De Zwart et al. (US Patent 6,388,644; hereinafter De Zwart), Welker et al. (US Patent 4,559,469; hereinafter Welker), and Park et al. (US Patent 6,399,287) teach the subject mater of claims 2 and 5. Any inconvenience is regretted. Rejections based on the newly cited references are below.

## Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

 Line 6: The applicant recites a group of electrodes arranged on the substrate. It is unclear to the examiner, since two substrates are claimed (line 2) which substrate is to include a group of electrodes. The examiner has interpreted the substrate, which includes the group of electrodes, to be the front substrate.

Appropriate correction is required.

1.11.11.0000

Art Unit: 2882

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by De Zwart.

Re claim 2: De Zwart discloses, in figure 5 and throughout the disclosure, a plasma display panel (10) comprising plural kinds of phosphor layers emitting different colors of fluorescent light(R,B,G1 and G2), wherein a green phosphor layer is formed of a mixed green phosphor (column 1, lines 47+) obtained by mixing a manganese activated zinc silicate phosphor and having a surface potential with a negative polarity (column 1, line 66; saturating) and a terbium activated rare earth borate green phosphor having a surface potential with a positive polarity (column 2, line 11; non-saturating); wherein the rare earth element is selected from Y or Gd.

Re claim 5: De Zwart further discloses, in figure 1 and throughout the disclosure, a plasma display panel (10) comprising: a pair of substrates positioned opposing each other (1 and 5) with a discharge space provided therebetween (9) where at least the front substrate is transparent; a separation wall disposed on at least one substrate so as

Application/Control Number: 09/732,804

Art Unit: 2882

to divide the discharge space into several parts (7); a group of electrodes (2) arranged on the substrate so that discharge is performed in the discharge spaces divided by the separation walls and phosphor layers disposed so as to emit light by the discharge (8).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park in view of Welker.

Re claim 2: Park discloses a plasma display panel comprising plural kinds of phosphor layers emitting different colors of fluorescent light, wherein the green phosphor layer may be formed of a manganese activated zinc silicate phosphor or a terbium activated rare earth borate, wherein the rare earth element is chosen from the group consisting of Sc and Y.

Park fails to teach mixing the two phosphors to form a layer of mixed green phosphor.

Welker discloses mixing two green phosphors having different and distinct properties (abstract, lines 2+) to form a layer.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the plasma display panel disclosed by Park with that of Application/Control Number: 09/732,804

Art Unit: 2882

Welker because in mixing the two green phosphors having different and distinct properties, the mixture of green phosphors produce a green emission more acceptable to the consumer by balancing the deep green phosphors with the yellowish-green phosphors. Therefore significantly improving the overall image produced by the plasma display panel.

Re claim 5: Park discloses the use of the phosphors within a plasma display panel. It is well known in the art for a plasma display panel to include: a pair of substrates positioned opposing each other with a discharge space provided therebetween where at least the front substrate is transparent; a separation wall disposed on at least one substrate so as to divide the discharge space into several parts; a group of electrodes arranged on the substrate so that discharge is performed in the discharge spaces divided by the separation walls and phosphor layers disposed so as to emit light by the discharge.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The best prior art of record teaches a conventional plasma display panel wherein the green phosphor is a mixture of a manganese activated zinc silicate phosphor and a terbium activated rare earth borate, however they fail to teach or fairly

Art Unit: 2882

suggest the mixing ratio of the terbium activated rare earth borate to the entire composition in the mixed phosphor to be 10-75 %wt.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Gemmell whose telephone number is (703) 305-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

emg May 28, 2003 ROVERT H. KIM SUPERVISORY PARELLY PLAMINER TECHNOLOGY CONTON 2800